IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) |
| vs. |) Case No. 02-cr-30116-SMY |
| MICHAEL D. BONTY, |) |
| Defendant. |) |

ORDER

YANDLE, District Judge:

Defendant Michael D. Bonty was sentenced on August 13, 2003 to 660 months' imprisonment for interstate transportation of a minor with the intent to engage in criminal sexual activity (Count 1), tampering with a victim (Counts 4, 5, and 6), and being a felon in possession of ammunition (Count 7) (Docs. 165, 168).

Bonty recently filed a *pro se* motion seeking a sentence reduction under Amendment 821 to the United States Sentencing Guidelines (Doc. 313). Pursuant to SDIL Administrative Order 362, Federal Public Defender Kim Freter entered her appearance on Bonty's behalf in order to determine his eligibility for a sentence reduction (Doc. 316). FPD Freter has now moved to withdraw, asserting that Bonty is ineligible for a sentence reduction under Amendment 821 (Doc. 318). Bonty objects (Doc. 319).

Amendment 821 to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, related to criminal history, became effective on November 1, 2023. Part A of the amendment addresses status points, decreasing them by one point for individuals with seven or more criminal history points and eliminating status points for those with six or fewer criminal history points. Subpart 1 of Part B creates a new § 4C1.1 guideline that provides a decrease of two offense levels for "Zero-Point".

Offenders" (those with no criminal history points) whose offense did not involve specified

aggravating factors. Amendment 821 is retroactive, but a court may not order the release of a

defendant prior to February 1, 2024. See Amendment 825 to USSG § 1B1.10, effective November

1, 2023.

When considering a retroactive Guidelines amendment, the Court must first determine a

defendant's eligibility for sentence modification and what amended guideline range would have

been applicable to the defendant. If a new sentence is authorized under those conditions, the Court,

in its discretion, may determine whether a lower sentence within the amended Guidelines range is

warranted upon consideration of the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Dillon v. United States,

560 U.S. 817, 826-27 (2010).

Bonty's total offense level at sentencing was 37 and his criminal history category was VI.

He was sentenced as a career offender with a Guideline sentencing range of 360 months to life

Under Amendment 821, Bonty's criminal history category and Guideline imprisonment.

sentencing range remain unchanged. Thus, he is not eligible for a sentence reduction.

Accordingly, the motion to withdraw (Doc. 318) is **GRANTED** and motion to reduce

pursuant to Amendment 821 (Doc. 313) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 19, 2024

STACI M. YANDLE

United States District Judge

Page 2 of 2